1	Megan A. Richmond (SBN 170753) MEGAN A. RICHMOND, APC 655 West Broadway Suite 1700	
2	655 West Broadway, Suite 1700 San Diego, California 92101	
3	Telephone: (617) 237-3490 Email: megan@therichmondfirm.com	
4	C. Brooks Cutter (SBN 121407)	
5	John R. Parker, Jr. (SBN 257761) Celine E. Cutter (SBN 312622) CUTTER LAW, P.C.	
6	401 Watt Avenue	
7	Sacramento, CA 95864 Telephone: (916) 290-9440	
8	Facsimile: (916) 588-9330 Email: bcutter@cutterlaw.com;	
9	Email: jparker@cutterlaw.com; Email: ccutter@cutterlaw.com	
10	Moira Kamgar (SBN 141383)	
11	MOIRA KAMGAR APC 2901 W Coast Hwy, Ste. 200	
12	Newport Beach, CA 92663 Telephone: (949) 422-5554	
13	Email: moirakamgar@gmail.com	
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
15 16		S DISTRICT COURT LIFORNIA – EASTERN DIVISION
16	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI	
16 17		
16 17 18	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI CHRISTINA ARVISO, Plaintiff,	LIFORNIA – EASTERN DIVISION
16 17 18 19 20	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI CHRISTINA ARVISO,	
16 17 18 19 20 21	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI CHRISTINA ARVISO, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, a legal	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (29 U.S.C. § 207)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI CHRISTINA ARVISO, Plaintiff, vs.	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI CHRISTINA ARVISO, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, a legal subdivision of the State of California, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (29 U.S.C. § 207)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI CHRISTINA ARVISO, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, a legal subdivision of the State of California,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (29 U.S.C. § 207)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI CHRISTINA ARVISO, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, a legal subdivision of the State of California, and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (29 U.S.C. § 207)
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI CHRISTINA ARVISO, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, a legal subdivision of the State of California, and DOES 1-10, inclusive, Defendants.	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (29 U.S.C. § 207) AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CAI CHRISTINA ARVISO, Plaintiff, vs. COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, a legal subdivision of the State of California, and DOES 1-10, inclusive, Defendants. COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION	COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT (29 U.S.C. § 207)

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff CHRISTINA ARVISO, a social worker and employee of Defendant COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, brings this action under the United States Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 ("FLSA"), 29 U.S.C. § 207, for remedies arising out of Defendants' non-payment of overtime. By this Complaint, Plaintiff seeks unpaid wages, penalties, liquidated damages, restitution, attorneys' fees and costs of suit pursuant to *inter alia*, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims asserted herein pursuant to Article III of the United States Constitution, as well as original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337.
- 3. Venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). Defendant resides in this District for the purposes of the foregoing venue statute, and a substantial part of the acts, events and / or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth in this Complaint occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff CHRISTINA ARVISO is an individual citizen of the United States of America and the State of California, and resides in this District. Within three (3) years of the date Plaintiff's Consent to Sue was filed in the related action, *Karrene Phillips et al. v. County of Riverside*, Case No. 5:19-cv-01231, Plaintiff CHRISTINA ARVISO was employed as a non-exempt, case-carrying social worker by Defendant in the CHILDREN'S SERVICES DIVISION of Defendant's DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SOCIAL SERVICES (a "CSD Social Worker") in this District.
- 5. The Court in *Karrene Phillips et al. v. County of Riverside*, Case No. 5:19-cv-01231 tolled the Statute of Limitations from April 7, 2022 until July 11,

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

- 6. Defendant COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE (hereinafter "Defendant") is a legal subdivision of the State of California, pursuant to Article 11, Section 1(a) of the California Constitution and Cal. Gov. Code § 23002.
- 7. Defendants DOES 1-10, inclusive, are sued herein under fictitious names. Their true names and capacities are unknown to Plaintiff. When their true names and capacities are ascertained, Plaintiff will amend this Complaint by inserting their true names and capacities herein. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of these fictitiously named defendants is responsible in some manner for the occurrences alleged herein and that the damages alleged herein were caused by such defendants.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Throughout Plaintiff's employment with Defendant, Defendant was required under the FLSA to compensate Plaintiff for all hours worked, along with a time-and-a-half premium for all time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week. Defendant failed to compensate Plaintiff for all hours worked as required by the FLSA.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, Defendant was advised by skilled and competent employees, advisors, lawyers and other professionals knowledgeable about the requirements of the FLSA and proper procedures for the payment of overtime compensation to non-exempt employees. Plaintiff thereon alleges that Defendant knew it had a duty to compensate Plaintiff for all hours worked, including overtime, at rates required by the FLSA, and that Defendant willfully, knowingly and intentionally failed and refused to do so.

10. Records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and the compensation actually paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of the Defendant.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Violation of FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §207)

- 11. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 10 of this Complaint, as though fully set forth in this First Claim for Relief.
- 12. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant was an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of goods for commerce as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s). At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendant was an employer within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(d) and has employed and may continue to employ within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. § 203(g) Plaintiff.
- 13. Plaintiff was employed by Defendant as a non-exempt, case-carrying CSD Social Worker.
- 14. All non-exempt CSD Social Workers, including Plaintiff, are entitled under the FLSA to be compensated for all of hours worked, plus a time-and-a-half premium for all time worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week.
- 15. While Plaintiff was employed by Defendant, Defendant frequently required, suffered, and/or permitted Plaintiff to work more than forty (40) hours per week without paying all of the compensation required by the FLSA, pursuant to written and unwritten employment policies.
- 16. Upon information and belief, Defendants failed to accurately record, report, and/or preserve complete records of hours worked by Plaintiff, as required pursuant to 29 U.S. Code § 211(c). To the extent that any such records do exist, they are in the possession of Defendants and are discoverable in this action.

- 17. Defendant's unlawful conduct was repeated and consistent throughout Plaintiff's entire period of employment as a CSD Social Worker. Upon information and belief, Defendant's policies and procedures were not FLSA compliant.
- 18. Upon information and belief, Defendant's supervisors and managers knew or should have known that Plaintiff was working overtime without receiving all compensation required under the FLSA.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Defendant knew or should have known that Plaintiff, was often assigned an amount of work that could not be completed in forty (40) hours per week. Defendant's failure to pay Plaintiff all compensation owed in a timely manner was therefore reckless and/or willful and intentional.
- 20. Defendant's failure to pay overtime to Plaintiff, was "willful" for the purposes of 29 U.S.C. § 255(a) because, after having been served written notification that social workers were working uncompensated overtime by the Riverside County Civil Grand Jury, Defendant continued to require CSD Social Workers, including Plaintiff, to complete all tasks assigned without receiving full compensation for all hours worked as required by the FLSA.
- 21. By reason of Defendants' foregoing conduct, Plaintiff has suffered harm and been damaged. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to and seeks damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §255(a) in the amount of all unpaid overtime for the maximum period allowed by law, and inclusive of all applicable tolling periods. Plaintiff is also entitled to attorney fees, liquidated damages, interest and costs pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), as well as such other legal and equitable relief as the Court deems just and proper.
- 22. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests relief as set forth in this First Claim for Relief and below.

26 ///

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1 PRAYER FOR RELIEF 2 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff CHRISTINA ARVISO prays for the following 3 relief pursuant to *inter alia*, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b): 4 1. Judgment against Defendants for an amount equal to the overtime 5 Defendants failed to pay at the applicable overtime rate; 6 2. Liquidated damages in an additional amount equal to the overtime 7 Defendants failed to pay at the applicable overtime rate; 8 3. Attorney's fees and costs; 9 4. A finding that Defendants' violations of law were willful pursuant to 10 29 U.S.C. § 255(a), providing for an extended three-year damages recovery period 11 preceding the date Plaintiff's executed Consent to Sue was filed in the related action 12 *Karrene Phillips et al. v. County of Riverside*, Case No. 5:19-cv-01231; 13 5. An award of prejudgment interest; and 14 6. Such further relief as the Court deems just and equitable. 15 16 Dated: June 27, 2022 Respectfully submitted, 17 18 **CUTTER LAW P.C.** 19 /s/ John R. Parker, Jr. By: John R. Parker, Jr. 20 21 Megan A. Richmond (SBN 170753) 22 MEGAN A. RICHMOND, APC 655 West Broadway, Suite 1700 San Diego, California 92101 23 Telephone: (619) 577-4253 24 Email: megan@therichmondfirm.com 25 C. Brooks Cutter (SBN 121407) John R. Parker, Jr. (SBN 257761) 26 Celine E. Cutter (SBN 312622) 27 COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 28